UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
----X
AVGRAPHICS, INC., JEAN PIERRE AZOULAY
And DARIA MCDERMOTT,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AFFIRMATION IN RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS

07 CIV 8430

NYSE GROUP, INC.,
(successor in interest to New York
Stock Exchange, Inc.) JOHN GREGORETTI,
TONY WALENTY, SAM COCOZZA,
MARGARET DeB. TUTWILER, JOHN THAIN,
individually and as employees of
NYSE GROUP, INC., ANTHONY E. WILSON,
a/k/a TONY WILSON,
DANA GREGORETTI, and
E-TRADE, Securities LLC

Defendants.

____X

Linda M. Cronin, being duly sworn, states the following under the penalties of perjury:

- 1. I am an attorney duly admitted to practice law in the State and Federal Courts of New York, and I am a Partner with the law office of Cronin & Byczek, LLP, counsel for AVGRAPHICS, INC., JEAN PIERRE AZOULAY and DARIA MCDERMOTT, plaintiffs herein.
- 2. This affirmation is respectfully submitted in response to defendant E*TRADE Securities LLC ("E*TRADE")

 Motion to Dismiss pursuant to Rule 12(b)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

- 3. This action was commenced by the filing of a Summons and Complaint alleging various theories of liability, including a claim against various defendants under the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. \$1961, et seq. ("RICO"). Before answers were interposed by any defendant, we filed the First Amended Complaint which omits the RICO claims and should have included an additional Federal claim under the Right to Financial Privacy Act of 1978, 12 U.S.C.A. \$3401-3422, but did not.
- 4. After reviewing defendant E*TRADE's Memorandum of Law and revisiting our First Amended Complaint, we believe that we could not sustain an action under 12 U.S.C.A. §3401-3422 and therefore choose not to cross move for amendment of our complaint. We also believe that as our pleading stands now, there is no Federal question, nor can we establish complete diversity.
- 5. In order to insure our client's protection under CPLR §205 we offer no opposition to defendant E*TRADE's Motion to Dismiss, rather than voluntarily discontinuing our action. Barlow v. Sun Chemical, 18 Misc.3d 953, 838 N.Y.S.2d 387, 2007 N.Y. Slip Op. 27141.

- 6. The Court should be aware that we contacted counsel for defendant NYSE GROUP, INC. in an attempt to resolve the issue by way of stipulation. Counsel indicated that he would not be inclined to enter into said stipulation.
- 7. In light of the fact that plaintiffs' claims raise pure issues of New York law, it is respectfully requested that this Court not exercise jurisdiction over this wholly state law claim and decline to address its merits. See, e.g. Seabrook v. Jacobson, 153 F.3d 70, 73074 (2d Cir. 1998) (exercise of jurisdiction over state law claim when all federal claims had been dismissed constituted abuse of discretion); Rounseville v. Zahl, 13 F.3d 625, 631 (2d Cir. 1994) (resolution of novel issue of state law was abuse of discretion where all federal claims had already been dismissed); Morse v. Univ. of Vt., 973 F.2d 122, 127-28 (2d Cir. 1992).

WHEREFORE for all of the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully requested that the motion of Defendant E-Trade should be granted to the extent that it requests a dismissal based on lack of diversity of citizenship and that dismissal is not based on the merits. It is further respectfully requested that this court not exercise supplemental jurisdiction and that Plaintiffs' claims against Defendants should be dismissed for lack of diversity and without prejudice to refiling in State Court; and for such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: Lake Success, New York March 19, 2008

CRONIN & BYCZEK, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiffs

BY:_.

Linda M. Cronin (LC0766) 1983 Marcus Avenue

liellhain

Suite C-120

Lake Success, NY 11042

516-358-1700

STATE OF NEW YORK)

ss.:

COUNTY OF NASSAU)

ROSE HORAN, being duly sworn says, I am not a party to the action, am over 18 years of age and reside in the County of Nassau, State of New York.

On March 20, 2008, I served a true copy of the within AFFIRMATION IN RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS by mailing the same in a sealed envelope, with postage prepaid thereon, in a post-office or official depository of the U.S. Postal Service within the State of New York, addressed to the last known address of the addressee as indicated below:

David Smith, Esq.

Smith, Campbell, LLP Attorney for NYSE Group 110 Wall Street New York, New York 10005

Joseph Samarias, Esq.

Attorney for E-Trade Cooley Godward Krenish, LLP One Freedom Square Teston Town Center 11951 Freedom Drive Reston, VA 20190-5656

Joan M. Markey

Attorney for Anthony Wilson and Dana Gregoretti 404 Park Avenue South 16th Floor New York, New York 10016

ROSE HORAN

Sworn to before me this 20th day of March 2008

Notary Public

Paula Vereen
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 01VE6006230

Qualified in Nassau County Ocal Commission Expires April 27, ____

Index No. 07cv8430 Yea	ır 20		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF N			
AVGRAPHICS, INC., JEAN	PIERRE AZOULAY A	AND DARIA MCDERMO	TT,
			Plaintiff
- against			
NYSE GROUP, INC., et al,			
			Defendants
AFFIRMATION IN RES	PONSE TO DEFENDA	ANTS' MOTION TO I	DISMISS
198 Suit	nin & Byczek LLP 3 Marcus Avenue te C-120 te Success, New York	11042	
	(516) 358-1700		
Го:			
Attorney(s) for			
ervice of a copy of the within		is I	hereby admitted.
Dated:			
	•••••••		•••••
	Attorney(s) for		
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE			
that the within is a (certified) OTICE OF entered in the office of the clerk ENTRY		ırt on	20
that an Order of which the wi		be presented for settlemen judges of the within nam	
TTLEMENT at on	20 , at	M .	
ated:			

Cronin & Byczek LLP 1983 Marcus Avenue Suite C-120 Lake Success, New York 11042